

## Note

---

# Generating bent sequences

C.M. Adams\* and S.E. Tavares

*Department of Electrical Engineering, Queen's University at Kingston, Kingston, Ont., Canada K7L 3N6*

Received 8 August 1989

Revised 13 November 1991

### Abstract

Adams, C.M. and S.E. Tavares, Generating bent sequences, Discrete Applied Mathematics 39 (1992) 155–159.

We introduce two general classes of bent sequences, “bent-based” and “linear-based”, and conjecture that all bent sequences fall into these classes. This gives us a framework for discussing the construction and cardinality of the set of bent sequences of any given order.

### Introduction

Let  $n = 2^m$  for  $m$  a positive even integer. For each vector  $x$  in  $Q_n = \{1, -1\}^n$ , let  $\hat{x} = (1/\sqrt{n})H_m x$ , where

$$H_m = \bigotimes_{i=1}^m \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the Walsh–Hadamard matrix of order  $n$  and  $\otimes$  is the Kronecker (or tensor) product. We are interested in generating vectors  $x$  in  $Q_n$  for which  $\hat{x}$  is also in  $Q_n$ . Such vectors  $x$  are known as bent vectors or *bent sequences*.<sup>1</sup>

*Correspondence to:* Professor Tavares, Department of Electrical Engineering, Queen's University, Kingston, Ont., Canada K7L 3N6.

\* Current address: Bell-Northern Research, Ltd., P.O. Box 3511, Station C, Ottawa, Ont., Canada K1Y 4H7.

<sup>1</sup> Note that a bent sequence in  $\{0, 1\}^n$  is the sequence of outputs of a bent Boolean function when the inputs are applied in lexicographic order. For the purposes of this paper we map the set of Boolean function outputs  $\{0, 1\}$  to the set  $\{+1, -1\}$  so that bent sequences are elements of  $Q_n$ .

We let  $B_n$  denote the bent sequences in  $Q_n$ . For example, when  $m=2$ ,

$$H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $B_4 = \{111-1, 11-11, 1-111, -1111, -1-1-11, -1-11-1, -11-1-1, 1-1-1-1\}$ . The remaining vectors in  $Q_4$  are linear:  $L_4 = \{1111, 11-1-1, 1-11-1, 1-1-11, -111-1, -11-11, -1-111, -1-1-1-1\}$ . The set  $L_n$  is easy to construct for any  $n$  ( $L_n$  consists of the rows of  $H_m$  and their complements, so that  $|L_n| = 2^{m+1} = 2n$ ), however, the construction and cardinality of  $B_n$  are unknown in general.

Background information on bent functions and sequences regarding length, weight, specific constructions, applications, and generalizations to larger fields can be found in [8, 2, 11, 7, 10, 5, 12, 3, 4, 6, 1, 13, 9].

### Generating and counting bent sequences

We define two general classes of bent sequences:

- (i) bent-based bent sequences,
- (ii) linear-based bent sequences.

A bent sequence  $x$  of order  $n = 2^m$  is *bent-based* if it is a concatenation of  $2^{m-2}$  bent subsequences of order 4; that is,  $x^T = (u_1^T u_2^T \cdots u_{2^{m-2}}^T)$  where  $u_i = (u_{i_1} u_{i_2} u_{i_3} u_{i_4}) \in B_4$  for each  $i$ .  $x$  is *linear-based* if it is a concatenation of  $2^{m-2}$  linear subsequences of order 4; that is,  $x^T = (v_1^T v_2^T \cdots v_{2^{m-2}}^T)$  where  $v_i = (v_{i_1} v_{i_2} v_{i_3} v_{i_4}) \in L_4$  for each  $i$ .

**Conjecture.** Every bent sequence is either bent-based or linear-based.

This conjecture holds for bent sequences in  $B_4$  and  $B_{16}$ . We now present simple algorithms which show that such sequences exist and are easy to generate.

#### *Bent-based bent sequences*

**Theorem.** Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  be in  $B_n$  and let  $z$  be the concatenation of the transforms of these sequences; that is,  $z^T = (\hat{x}_1^T \hat{x}_2^T \hat{x}_3^T \hat{x}_4^T)$  in  $Q_{4n}$ . The sequence  $z$  is in  $B_{4n}$  if and only if  $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)$  is in  $Q_n$ .

**Proof.** We write  $z$  as an  $n \times 4$  matrix:  $Z = (\hat{x}_1 \hat{x}_2 \hat{x}_3 \hat{x}_4)$ . It is easy to check that

$\hat{z} = (1/\sqrt{4n})H_{m+2}z \Rightarrow \hat{Z} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (1/\sqrt{n})H_m Z H_2$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Z} &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} H_m (\hat{x}_1 \hat{x}_2 \hat{x}_3 \hat{x}_4) H_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4) H_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} X H_2\end{aligned}$$

where  $X = (x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4)$ . Now,  $Z \in B_{4n}$  iff  $\hat{Z} = \frac{1}{2} X H_2 \in Q_{4n}$ . But  $X \in Q_{4n}$  since the columns  $x_i \in B_n$ . The  $i$ th row of  $\hat{Z}$ ,  $\hat{Z}_i \in Q_4$  iff the  $i$ th row of  $X \in B_4$ ; that is, iff  $(x_{1_i} + x_{2_i} + x_{3_i} + x_{4_i}) = \pm 2$ , for all  $i$ . Therefore,  $\hat{Z} \in Q_{4n}$  iff  $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) \in Q_n$ .  $\square$

The method for creating bent-based bent sequences (BBBS's) should now be clear. To generate a BBBS  $z \in B_{4n}$ , choose four BBBS's  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in B_n$ , such that  $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) \in Q_n$  and form  $z^T = (\hat{x}_1^T \hat{x}_2^T \hat{x}_3^T \hat{x}_4^T)$ .

The number of BBBS's in  $B_{4n}$  can now be trivially lower-bounded in terms of the number of BBBS's in  $B_n$  since  $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_1 + x_1 - x_1) \in Q_n$ , for all  $x_1$ , and  $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_1 + x_2 - x_2) \in Q_n$ , for all  $x_1, x_2$  where  $x_2 \neq \pm x_1$ . Note that there are four possible orderings of  $(\hat{x}_1^T \hat{x}_1^T \hat{x}_1^T - \hat{x}_1^T)$  and six possible orderings of  $(\hat{x}_1^T \hat{x}_1^T \hat{x}_2^T - \hat{x}_2^T)$ . Therefore, if there are  $\beta_n$  BBBS's in  $B_n$ , then there are at least  $4 \times \binom{\beta_n}{1} + 6 \times \binom{\beta_n}{1}(\beta_n - 2) = 4\beta_n + 6\beta_n(\beta_n - 2)$  BBBS's in  $B_{4n}$ . If  $x_i \neq \pm x_j$  for  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$   $i \neq j$ , then it becomes less clear as to how many ways there are to select four bent sequences for which  $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) \in Q_n$  holds. Certainly if there are  $w$  ways, then  $w$  is some function of  $\beta_n$  and this leads to  $w(4!)$  unique bent sequences. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{4n} &= 4\beta_n + 6\beta_n(\beta_n - 2) + 24w \\ &= 6\beta_n^2 - 8\beta_n + 24w.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

### Linear-based bent sequences

Yarlagadda and Hershey have pointed out [13] that if  $H_m$  is a Hadamard matrix of order  $n$  so that  $H_m = (h_1 h_2 \cdots h_{2^m})$ , where the  $h_i$  are the columns of  $H_m$ , then the vector  $x^T = (h_1^T h_2^T \cdots h_{2^m}^T)$  (i.e., the vector formed by concatenating the columns) is a bent sequence of order  $n^2$ . This is because  $\hat{x} = x \in Q_{n^2}$ . We note that any sequence constructed in this way is a linear-based bent sequence (LBBS), since the columns of the Hadamard matrix  $H_2$  are in  $L_4$  and the columns of  $H_m$  for  $m > 2$  are composed of columns from  $H_{m-1}$ . We note further that  $Y = H_m D P$  is bent (see also [5]) and hence is also an LBBS, where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix with  $\pm 1$  on the diagonal and  $P$  is a permutation matrix. This is because  $\hat{Y} = D P H_m$ . Thus  $\hat{Y}$  is a Hadamard matrix with rows permuted by  $P$  and complemented by the  $-1$  entries in  $D$ , implying that  $\hat{Y} \in Q_{n^2}$  and therefore that  $Y = H_m D P$  is bent. However,  $Y$  is

simply a Hadamard matrix with the *columns* permuted and complemented, so the columns of  $Y$  remain linear and concatenating these columns yields a bent sequence which is linear-based.

Counting the LBBS's of a given order is a trivial matter: for matrices of size  $n \times n$ , there are  $2^n$  possible  $D$  matrices (two choices for each diagonal element) and  $n!$  possible  $P$  matrices. All of these will lead to unique LBBS's, so we have  $\# \text{LBBS's}$  in  $B_n = 2^n \times n!$ .

## Conclusions

We have defined two classes of bent sequences, bent-based bent sequences (BBBS's) and linear-based bent sequences (LBBS's), and have extended previous work by Yarlagadda and Hershey to give explicit algorithms for constructing sequences in these classes. We have conjectured that every bent sequence belongs to one of these two classes. Our construction of elements of the set  $B_n$  leads to a conjecture for a lower bound on the cardinality of this set. We note that for bent sequences in  $B_{16}$ , the algorithms yield 512 BBBS's and 384 LBBS's, or 896 bent sequences in total; exhaustive search of all elements of  $Q_{16}$  has shown that this is a complete list. For sequences in  $B_{64}$  we calculate 37,879,808 BBBS's and 10,321,920 LBBS's, or 48,201,728 bent sequences in total. Although an exhaustive search through all elements of  $Q_{64}$  is computationally infeasible, it would be interesting to find out whether this is again a complete enumeration.

We list as an open research problem the search for a good method for calculating  $w$  in equation (1). Using the weight of a bent sequence and the requirement that  $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) \in Q_n$ , we see that  $w$  is upper-bounded by  $2 \times \binom{\beta_n/2}{3}$ ; in fact, for  $x_i \in B_4$  ( $\beta_4 = 8$ ) this bound is exact. We conjecture that  $w$  may be lower-bounded by  $2 \times \binom{\beta_n/2}{2} = (\beta_n/2)(\beta_n/2 - 1)$  for  $\beta_n > 8$  but this is unproven.

## Acknowledgement

The work described in this paper was partially supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. We would also like to thank Dr. David Gregory for his helpful comments.

## References

- [1] H. Chung and P.V. Kumar, A new general construction for generalized bent functions, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 35 (1989) 206-209.
- [2] J.F. Dillon, Elementary Hadamard different sets, PhD thesis, University of Maryland, College Park, MD (1974).

- [3] P.V. Kumar and R.A. Scholtz, Bounds on the linear span of bent sequences, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 29 (1983) 854–862.
- [4] P.V. Kumar, R.A. Scholtz and L.R. Welch, Generalized bent functions and their properties, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 40 (1985) 90–107.
- [5] A. Lempel and M. Cohn, Maximal families of bent sequences, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 28 (1982) 865–868.
- [6] V.V. Losev, Decoding of sequences of bent functions by means of a fast Hadamard transform, *Radiotekhn. i Elektron.* 7 (1987) 1479–1492.
- [7] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977).
- [8] R. McFarland, A family of difference sets in noncyclic groups, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 15 (1973) 1–10.
- [9] W. Meier and O. Staffelbach, Nonlinearity criteria for cryptographic functions, in: *Proceeding of EUROCRYPT '89, Advances in Cryptology* (Springer, Berlin, 1990) 549–562.
- [10] J.D. Olsen, R.A. Scholtz and L.R. Welch, Bent-function sequences, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 28 (1982) 858–864.
- [11] O.S. Rothaus, On “bent” functions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 20 (1976) 300–305.
- [12] R. Yarlagadda and J. Hershey, A note on the eigenvectors of Hadamard matrices of order  $2^n$ , *Linear Algebra Appl.* 45 (1982) 43–53.
- [13] R. Yarlagadda and J.E. Hershey, Analysis and synthesis of bent sequences, *IEE Proc. E* 136 (1989) 112–123.